#### Integrating Sphere Photometers Designed for Solid State Lighting Measurement

<u>Seongchong Park</u>, Dong-Hoon Lee, Seung-Nam Park Korea Research Institute of Standards and Science (KRISS)



NEWRAD 20 Sept 2011, Hawaii

# Outline

- Motivation
- Problems to be solved
  - Self-screening effect for a large area light source
  - Spatial mismatch error for a directive light source
- Method of numerical experiment
- Results for self-screening correction
- Results for spatial mismatch-free design
- Summary

## Motivation

- Total luminous flux
  - measurand for luminous efficacy (lm/W)
  - key quantity for energy efficiency
- Solid state lighting (SSL) products
  - spatially directive sources
  - flat surface-emitting sources
- Integrating sphere (IS) photometer vs.
  Gonio-photometer
  - cost effective
  - fast measurement
  - reference standard required
  - specific errors to be corrected







### Questions

1. Can we use an IS photometer for measuring a large-area surface-emitting source? (but please quick and easy...)

Is there no way to remove the "troublesome" procedure of spatial mismatch correction for a highly directive light source?



### **IS Photometer**

$$\Phi_v^T = \frac{y^T}{y^R} \Phi_v^R k_{CCF} k_{SCF} k_{abs}$$

$$k_{abs} = \frac{y^{RA}}{y^{TA}}$$

- spectral mismatch correction (*k*<sub>CCF</sub>)
- spatial mismatch correction (k<sub>SCF</sub>)
- self-absorption correction (k<sub>abs</sub>)



### **Spatial Mismatch Error**

- Accurate correction possible <u>only if</u> the following information available:
  - spatial response distribution function (SRDF) of the IS photometer
  - angular distribution of the test source



# Self-Screening Effect

- Additional error for a large-area (surface-emitting) source
- Test source acts as a low-reflectance baffle
  - $\rightarrow$  change of radiation transfer pattern
  - $\rightarrow$  change of the IS response





### **Numerical Experiment**

**Radiative Transfer Equation** 

$$E_i(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{w,b1,b2} \rho(\mathbf{r}') E_{i-1}(\mathbf{r}') S(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') T(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') dA', \quad E(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} E_i(\mathbf{r}).$$



- $\bullet \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \in w, b_1, b_2$
- Screening function,  $S(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r'})$ 
  - fully screened,  $S(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r'}) = 0$
  - fully unscreened,  $S(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r'}) = 1$
  - partially screened,  $0 < S(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r'}) < 1$
- **Transfer function**,  $T(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$



Y. Ohno, Applied Optics 33, 2637 (1994)

### Numerical Experiment

- ► Commercial ray-tracing simulator (LightTools<sup>TM</sup>)
  - based on Monte-Carlo Method
  - applicable to non-Lambertian surface.
  - no limits on internal structures. e.g. baffles, openings
- Direct Integration by iteration method (home-made)
  - vectorized codes in MATLAB<sup>™</sup>
  - equal-area mesh generation: up to 5000 elements
  - partial screening effect handling by taking additional sub-meshes
  - procedures:
    - 1. mesh generation (5000 elements, 1 s for a AMD64 PC)
    - 2. screening and transfer function calculation (5000 elements, 3 min.)
    - 3. iteration (5000 elements, 30 s) to get  $E(\mathbf{r})$
    - 4. if necessary, repetition of step 3 for other calculation points  ${\bf r}$

#### Results for Self-Screening Correction

S. Park et al., Applied Optics 49, 3831 (2010)



### Idea

- Main Idea: match the spatial distribution of <u>auxiliary lamp</u> to that of the test lamp
  - usually 2π half-sphere illumination for surface-emitting sources
  - easy to realize
  - no influence on other conditions
- Self-absorption correction automatically corrects the selfscreening effect
  - proved by numerical experiment



## Simulation Design



#### Dimension of IS under experiment

KR

Angular distribution of auxiliary lamp

#### Self-Screening Correction Results



Relative residual error of total luminous flux as a function of the diameter of a SLS– DUT for the case that the self-screening correction is applied using one auxiliary lamp with the angular distribution of (a)  $\cos \theta$ , (b)  $\cos^2 \theta$ , (c)  $\cos^5 \theta$ , and (d)  $\cos^{12} \theta$ .

KRISS Korea Research Institute of Standards and

#### Self–Screening Correction Results



Comparison of the relative residual errors after self-screening correction between the case using a  $2\pi$  REF (black circles) and the case using a  $4\pi$  REF (red squares)

Error as a function of the diameter of a SLS-DUT after the self-screening correction using one  $\cos \theta$  auxiliary lamp for different values of the reflectance of the front/rear surface of the SLS-DUT.

Korea Researc

#### Self-Screening Correction Results



Error for different values of (a) distance d of the baffle from the SLS-DUT, and (b) diameter  $D_B$  of the baffle.

KR

Error due to self-screening corrected to < 2 %



Error for using one, two, and four auxiliary lamp(s) with the  $\cos \theta$  distribution.

### Proof Experiment (on-going)



P



Test source consisting of 17 white LEDs (40 mA) in series mounted on a 1 m x 1 m frame. The sum of TLF was approximately 125 lm.

KR

Test source mounted inside the 2 m IS photometer.



Screening of the test source to investigate the selfscreening effect of a surfaceemitting source.

(New Ø 2 m IS photometer to be installed in Oct 2011)

#### Results for Spatial Mismatch-free Design

S. Park et al., Applied Optics 50, 2220 (2011)



# **Multiple Lamp Socket**

 Idea #1: mount multiple test sources to make a uniform distribution of test lamp → Multi-LED socket



Spatial mismatch error reduced to < 1 %

KR



Irradiance distribution and SCF for different angular patterns of test LEDs

S. Park et al., CIE session 2011

### Multi-port Design

Idea #2: install mutiple detectors to make a uniform response of the IS photometer → a universal solution!





Single-port vs. 6-port SRDF calculated by numerical simulation with  $\rho = 95$  %, R = 0.75 m,  $R_b = (1/4) \times R$ ,  $R_w = 0.025$  m,  $D = (2/3) \times R$ , and  $D_L = 0$ .

### **Spatial Correction Factor**

1.005 1.05 (a) cos<sup>1</sup> (f) cos<sup>1</sup> scf 9º 0.95 0.995 27° 36° 45° (b) cos<sup>2</sup> (g) cos<sup>2</sup> (c) cos<sup>5</sup> (h)  $\cos^5$ (d) cos<sup>12</sup> (i) cos<sup>12</sup> (e) cos<sup>60</sup> (j) cos<sup>60</sup> 60 0 120 180 Polar angle (Deg.)

Spatial mismatch error reduced to < 0.5 %

Single-port vs. 6-port SCF for different angular distributions of a test source.

Sandards and Science

### Parameter Dependence



SCF dependence on baffle position *D* (*R* is the radius of the sphere.)



### Parameter Dependence



KR



position  $D_L$ . (*R* is the radius of the sphere.)

### **Effect of Contamination**



SCF error due to  $\rho$  difference between upper and lower hemisphere.

SCF error after correction based on approximation:  $K(\theta, \phi) \propto \rho_{1st}(\theta, \phi)$ 

### **Realization Concept**



• Only P\* used for self-absorption correction

### **KRISS 6-port IS Photometer**



KRISS Korea Research Institute of Standards and Science

(to be installed in Oct 2011)

### Summary

- Modification of the auxiliary lamp → correction of selfscreening effect for a large-area light source
- Multi-LED socket  $\rightarrow$  spatial mismatch compensated
- Multi-port IS design → spatial mismatch-free measurement of directive light sources
- Validity of the designs tested by numerical experiment based on the radiation transfer equation
- IS photometers have a good chance also for SSL products.