The 11<sup>th</sup> International Conference on New Developments and Applications in Optical Radiometry September 19-23, 2011, Maui, Hawaii, USA



### New Method for Spectral Irradiance and Radiance Responsivity Calibration using Pulsed Tunable Lasers

Yuqin Zong Steven Brown, George Eppeldauer, Keith Lykke, and Yoshi Ohno

> National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, Maryland USA



# **Continuous wave (CW) tunable lasers**

- high power,
- narrow bandwidth,
- Being used for calibrations of primary detectors and remote sensing instruments

### Shortcomings:

- bulky
- expensive
- interference fringes
- hard to operate and maintain



## **Pulsed tunable lasers**

- Fully automated
- Large tunable range
- Finite bandwidth, no or less interference fringes
- portable,
- affordable.
- Narrow pulse width, extremely low duty cycle (eg, 10<sup>-6</sup>)
- Pulse to pulse variation, and hard to be stabilized.
- Transimpedence amplifiers don't work well.

### Have not been used as calibration source yet!



# Key questions to be answered

- Can pulse lasers be used for calibration of detectors with small uncertainties?
- How to overcome fluctuation of a pulsed laser and get repeatable results?
- Will detectors be saturated?
- Is a pulse laser equivalent a CW laser for detector calibrations?



# Schematic of the new measurement method





#### The OPO laser



- 210 nm to 2400 nm tunable range,
- 1000 Hz repetitive rate
- 5 ns pulse width
- $5-8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  bandwidth

NĽ

#### **Pulse waveform**





#### Laser spectrum





#### The electrometer





- Charge measurement function from 2 nC to 2 μC
- High performance multichannel switching card
- < 3 fA bias current</p>
- $< 20 \ \mu V$  burden voltage
- No accurate timing and switching

With a switched integrator transimpedance amplifier

$$Q = \int_0^T i(t)dt = C \times V$$



#### **Measurement timing**





#### **Measurement repeatability**



Measurement No., i

- Two S2281 Si photodiodes (PD)
- standard deviation = 7 ppm!
- One 3 Si PD trap and one S2281 Si PD
- standard deviation = **12** ppm!

### Linearity measurement





#### **Result of detector linearity test**



Averaged photocurrent, / (A)

The relative responsivity is obtained by normalizing the charge ratio  $r(P_i)$  of the test detector to reference detector .



- Nonlinearity depends on the detector and the laser wavelength.
- 2) The instantaneous photocurrent without causing nonlinearity is several orders of magnitude higher than the threshold nonlinear DC photocurrent (0.1 1 mA typically).
- 3) The level of allowed averaged photocurrent is several orders of magnitude lower than the threshold nonlinear DC photocurrent.



### Validation results using CW lasers



Difference in measured responsivity is only ≈ 0.02 %, well within the instruments' uncertainty (0.05 %).

### Uncertainties

	Relative standard unc. (%)	
Uncertainty component	Type A	Type B
Reference trap detector		0.028
Laser wavelength (0.01 nm)	0.005	
Sphere source irradiance uniformity		0.005
Detector reference plane		0.010
Detector linearity		0.005
Transfer to test detector	0.005	
Electrometer (relative only)		0.01
Combined uncertainty (%)	0.033	
Expanded uncertainty ( <i>k</i> =2) (%)	0.066	



## Conclusions

- A new method using pulsed laser sources has been developed for calibration of detectors and instruments.
- The method has been validated and found to be equivalent to CW laser method.
- The averaged photocurrent should be kept several orders of magnitude lower than the threshold nonlinear DC photocurrent to avoid nonlinearity.
- Pulsed laser sources have advantage over CW lasers in reducing interference fringes.



- This method can be used in other applications such as measurement of material property of transmittance and reflectance.
- Compared to a monochromotor-based system, calibration uncertainties are significantly lower (eg, one order of magnitude).



# **THANK YOU**

